REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to specify that the spring contact contacts a first side of the

wafer and the base seals a second side of the wafer opposite the first side.

In the cited reference to Brogden, the seal 40 and the contacts 36 contact the same side of

the wafer. Supplying the dispersed contacting apparatus of Jorné to the device of Brogden still

fails to meet the requirement of the claim that the sealing contact and the electrical contact be on

opposite sides.

Moreover, it creates the apparently insoluble problem in Brogden as to how one is to

provide the apparatus 32 of Jorné in the apparatus of Brogden when doing so would apparently

require violating the seal 40 and thereby counteracting the sealing effect of the seal 40. In other

words, there is no way to get to the other side of the seal 40 except to go through it, which then

breaks the seal.

Since the proposed combination does not work and still fails to meet the claimed

limitations, reconsideration would be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 7, 2008

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994

TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.

1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750

Houston, TX 77057-2631

713/468-8880 [Phone]

713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation

4